



**Minutes of the Cheriton Bishop Parish Council Extraordinary meeting held at 6.00 pm on
Monday, 3rd August, 2026 at The Spalding Hall, Cheriton Bishop.**

Present: Chair Peter O'Neil, Cllr C. Marshall and Cllr N. savage and 3 members of the public present.

As the Parish Clerk was unable to attend, it was agreed that the Chair should take the minutes.

1. To Receive and accept apologies. Cllr A. Fry

2. To receive Declarations of Interest. None

3. Public Discussion

The revised application for Little Mounson (26/00805/FULL), being the reason for the Extraordinary meeting, was discussed at some length. As well as previous concerns raised, which had already been noted by the Parish Council in their previous response to consultation, there were doubts about the level of updated information brought to attention of the general public and those who had already registered objections. A question was raised about the size of the site, which seemed to have been altered during the application process. There were also further doubts raised about the consistency and accuracy of the environmental surveys associated with iterations of the application and about the environmental effects of the planned work.

4. Revised planning application

26/00805/FULL Little Mounson Cheriton Bishop

Erection of two replacement dwellings and associated work

After discussing the revisions and the concerns raised by members of the public, the Council resolved and approved by unanimous vote to request the Clerk to send the following response to the consultation request from Planning Control.

Following a review of the revised documentation and plans, we note that our previous objections are still relevant. In addition, we resolved at an extraordinary meeting on 3rd August to add the following comments:

1. We find that the current application contains inaccurate statements (for example that the site is currently an occupied residential site) and misleading statements (for example those relating to previous and time-lapsed outline approvals) which reduce the validity of the application as well as wasting time for organisations involved in consultations.
2. We feel that owners of neighbouring properties, as well as other community members concerned about environmental and safety impacts, have not always been fully informed about significant changes to the application.



3. We wish to raise an important concern that the size of the application site seems to have changed during the application processes, from 0.7 acres to 1.94 acres – without clarity of the reason(s) for this.
4. Finally we feel that MDDC Development Control should consider undertaking their own environmental survey of the site to clarify the current situation, as the various descriptions received to date seem to be contradictory and inaccurate.

The meeting was closed by the Chair, with a note of thanks to those attending.